

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/926,085	08/27/2001	Takenobu Sunagawa	011080	2186
23850	7590 12/15/2005		EXAMINER	
	IG, KRATZ, QUINTO	LIPMAN, BERNARD		
1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20006		1713	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		*.	///
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>V</i>
	09/926,085	SUNAGAWA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bernard Lipman	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communibandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	6 September 2005.		
· - · ·	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	iters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	O. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-9 and 11</u> is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,5,7 and 8</u> is/are		on.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,6,9 and 11</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	***	` '	.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		•
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	Application No	
Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	n received in this National Staç	је
application from the International Bur	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	.)
· upor ito(o)/itiuli buto	رة		

Application/Control Number: 09/926,085 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 6, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lane and in view of Kato et al and Robinson.
- 4. Lane specifically teaches a processing aid as claimed with a core-shell structure from the same monomers as claimed by applicants. The products are also made by emulsion polymerization and possess the same molecular weight as seen in table 2. The monomers as claimed are exemplified except that the reference adds a small amount of crosslinking monomer. This, however, is not excluded by the "consisting essentially of" language of the claims since the products are taught for the same use. Even though the reference uses a different free-radical initiation system than is stipulated by applicants' claims and does not mention the chain transfer agents, it is reasonable to presume the products made essentially the same way from the same monomers would produce products that are the same, In re Fitzgerald Et AI, 205 USPQ

Application/Control Number: 09/926,085

Art Unit: 1713

594. The examiner has presented a reasonable presumption that the teaching of the reference is the same as the requirements of the claims. Under In re Fitzgerald practice, it is now incumbent upon Applicant(s) to present evidence of difference between the claims and the teaching of the reference in order to overcome the rejection.

Alternatively, since references to Kato et al and Lang teach making similar polymers using the claimed initiation system and chain transfer agents, it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute known free-radical systems one for the other and to use chain transfer agents as taught by references to Kato et al and Lang absent evidence of unexpected results commensurate in scope to the claims.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/926,085

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-1105. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bernard Lipman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

BL/hs